UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,960	07/03/2003	Gordon P. Kurtenbach	1500.1005CDC	3561
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 12/22/201 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		TRAN, MYLINH T		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
### WILINH TRAN 2179 ### WILINH TRAN 2179 ### WILINH TRAN 2179 ### WILINH TRAN 2179 ### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### Each of the trans right be waited to the provious of 370°F1.1386. In to evert, flower, may sayly be stringly liked in the provious of 370°F1.1386. In owner, flower, may sayly be stringly liked in the communication. Feature is each within the core of 370°F1.1386. In owner, flower, may sayly be stringly liked in the communication. Feature is each within the core of 370°F1.1386. In owner, flower, may sayly so the string the string of the communication of 370°F1.1386. In owner, flower, may sayly so the string of the communication of 370°F1.1386. In owner, flower, may sayly so the string of the	Office Action Occurrence	10/611,960	KURTENBACH, GORDON P.			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the proclased of 30 76Th 113801, in an event, rewards may analy be time yield with a size of 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under one provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(3). In or went however, may a party be timely liked Extensions of the may be available under one provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(3). In or went however, may a party be timely liked Extensions of the may be available under one provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(3). In or went however, may a party be timely liked Extensions of the may be available under the provision of the provision of the communication. Pallace to reply will be for each place of the communication of the communication. Pallace to reply will be fore the mening date of the communication. Pallace to reply will be fore the communication of the communication is placed. 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 01 June 2010. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Experte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are epicited. 5) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 8) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 1.3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 1.3.7 and 1		MYLINH TRAN	2179			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of aim may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(d.). In covert, however, may areally be timely filed after \$2.4(f.) McN 1154 from the mailing date of this communication is 1400 per control or register application of the provision of the pr		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7 and 12-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ON None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.7 and 12-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
4) Claim(s) 1-3.7 and 12-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.7 and 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed 06/01/2010 has been entered and carefully considered. Arguments regarding rejection under 35. U.S.C 102 to claims (1-3, 7 and 12-26). However, the limitations of the claims have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record and newly discovered prior art; therefore, claims 1-3, 7 and 12-26 are rejected under the new ground of rejection as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7 and 12-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buxton et al. [US. 6,094,197] in view of Smith [US. 5,721,853].

As to claims 1, 7, 12, 15-16, 23 and 26, Buxton et al. teach a computer implemented method and corresponding apparatus for a menu selection comprising the steps/means for a display displaying menu comprising a radial marking menu portion (figure 11, column 7, lines 35-52); a pointing device for indicating a type of selection by one of making a

column 10, lines 47-60).

Art Unit: 2179

stroke having a direction and designating a location (column 3, lines 6-20); and a computer connected to a display and said pointing device (figure 20), and determining selection criteria for the type and a menu selection based on a method of selection from one of the stroke and the location (column 9, lines 48-55, column 10, lines 8-20). Buxton also teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion (figure 11); where selectable items in the radial marking menu sub-menu are included based on a priority (column 12, lines 16-60).

Buxton also teaches portion of radial marking menu (column 9, lines 32-48); a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (figures 11, 13, column 10, lines 8-34, and

While Buxton teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion at figure 11, Buxton et al. do not teach a linear menu portion. Particularly, Buxton et al. do not explicitly a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion.

However, simultaneously displaying a radial marking menu portion and a linear menu portion are taught by Smith at figure 3A.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960

Art Unit: 2179

to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

Page 4

As to claim 2, Buxton fails to clearly teach the menu including at least nine selectable menu items. Smith teaches the menu including at least nine selectable menu items (figure 3E). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

As to claim 3, Buxton et al. teach the menu including at least one menu item selectable based on the designating of only a location (column 9, lines 49-55).

As to claims 13-14, 17, Buxton teaches specifying selection criteria of a radial marking menu item when a method of selection is a stroke direction in the radial marking menu portion (column 3, lines 6-20). Buxton teaches the radial marking menu portion at figure 11; a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (figures 11, 13, column 10, lines 8-34, and column 10, lines 47-60).

While Buxton teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion at figure 11, Buxton et al. do not teach a

linear menu portion. Particularly, Buxton et al. do not explicitly a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion; neither specifying selection criteria of a linear location menu item when a method of selection uses an end point of the stroke when the stroke is in a linear location selection region.

However, simultaneously displaying a radial marking menu portion and a linear menu portion are taught by Smith at figure 3A. Smith also teaches specifying selection criteria of a linear location menu item when a method of selection uses an end point of the stroke when the stroke is in a linear location selection region at column 5, lines 4-40.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

As to claims 18-19, Buxton shows making a stroke in a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion (column 9, lines 48-55), and selecting, based on the stroke, a second item of the menu, when the stroke is in a second radial portion of the menu and when the stroke does not terminate inside any displayed items of the menu (column 9, lines 48-

Art Unit: 2179

55 and column 10, lines 8-20); the radial marking menu portion represents a grouping of menu items based upon frequency of selection (column 12, lines 16-60).

While Buxton teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion at figure 11, Buxton et al. do not teach a linear menu portion. Particularly, Buxton et al. do not explicitly a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion; neither selecting a displayed first item of the menu when the stroke terminates inside the displayed item in a displayed first linear portion of the menu.

However, simultaneously displaying a radial marking menu portion and a linear menu portion are taught by Smith at figure 3A. Smith also teaches selecting a displayed first item of the menu when the stroke terminates inside the displayed item in a displayed first linear portion of the menu (figure 3C, the first item of the menu (figure 3c, 304a) is selected when the mouse pointer terminates inside the portion 304b).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

As to claim 20, Buxton teaches a radial portion in which a menu selection being based on a stroke (column 9, lines 40-60), Buxton also teaches portion of radial marking menu (column 9, lines 32-48); a selection from the menu is made without displaying the menu with a single, uninterrupted stroke (figures 11, 13, column 10, lines 8-34, and column 10, lines 47-60).

While Buxton teaches a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion at figure 11, Buxton et al. do not teach a linear menu portion. Particularly, Buxton et al. do not explicitly a display displaying a menu comprising a radial marking menu portion simultaneously displayed with a linear menu portion; neither selection of a radial menu item of radial portion being suppressed when a pointer location is in the linear portion.

However, simultaneously displaying a radial marking menu portion and a linear menu portion are taught by Smith at figure 3A. Smith also teaches selection of a radial menu item of radial portion being suppressed when a pointer location is in the linear portion (column 5, lines 4-40). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a

Art Unit: 2179

combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

As to claims 21 and 22, Buxton fails to clearly teach the radial menu item selection being reactivated when the pointer location exits a linear item in the linear portion; neither the radial menu item selection being reactivated when the pointer location crosses a radial portion boundary line extending across the menu. However, Smith the features at column 5, line 40 through column 6, line 6). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the radial marking and linear menus of Smith to include radial marking menu feature to achieve the claimed invention. One would be motivated to make such a combination is to provide multiple selectable items for the users; thus, to promote a business.

As to claim 24, Buxton teaches the pointing device allowing a user to select by both making a stroke and designating a location and for indicating a type of selection by the user making one of a stroke having a direction for radial marking menu selection and designating a location (column 10, lines 8-34).

As to claim 25, Buxton teaches the pointing device for indicating a type of selection by one of making a stroke having a direction and designating a location local to both the display and the pointing device (column 5, lines 11-40).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7 and 12-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,960 Page 10

Art Unit: 2179

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

/Weilun Lo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179